## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-17

From/Cross Street: SR 1438 (Spruill Town Road)
To: SR 1637 (Mill Pond Road)
Length: 3.24

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Widen to Multi-Lanes.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CRAVEN
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO

## Project Location

(118)

Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 45.26 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | 50.05 |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress | N/A |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (25\%) | 69.32 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 100\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 50.05 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 48.92 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 69.32 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 69.32 | Percent: 25\% | Points: |
| Safety (10\%) | 50.05 |  | Percent: 25\% |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 52.58 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> $100 \%)$ | 0.53 | 52 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 8163.8 | 34 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 60.85 | 76 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 57.98 | 15 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 50.13 | 19 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 184 | 74 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 865.71 | 53 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 26.12 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 12.14 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 93 | 28 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 3.24 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 3 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 7136.9 |
| Volume (PADT): | 8163.8 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.14 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15500 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.53 |
| \% Autos: | 88\% |
| \% Trucks: | 12\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 865.71 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 60.85 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 57.98 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 50.13 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 184 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | Yes |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 93 |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided (46' Depressed Median) with Paved Shoulders |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 3.24 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 2 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 26.12 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | New Bern Amtrak Bus Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 12.14 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | Yes |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | No |
| CTP/LRTP Name: |  |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route | Yes |
| Submitted by: | Division 2 |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 19,990,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 3,529,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 423,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 23,942,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 23,942,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-17

From/Cross Street: SR 1637 (Mill Pond Road)
To: SR 1646 (Mile Road)
Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway

Length: 2.17
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: R-2513C

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$15,898,000
Description:
Widen to Multi-Lanes.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CRAVEN
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 30.57 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | 78.17 |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress | 68.26 |  |
| Freight (25\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 100\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 78.17 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 32.91 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 68.26 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 78.17 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 35.25 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 68.26 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> 100\%) | 0.38 | 35 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 5943.68 | 23 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 76.46 | 90 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 84.58 | 76 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 68.2 | 62 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 184 | 74 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 725.86 | 45 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 25.8 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 15.26 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 97 | 13 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 2.17 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 1 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 5158.91 |
| Volume (PADT): | 5943.68 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.15 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15500 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.38 |
| \% Autos: | 86\% |
| \% Trucks: | 14\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 725.86 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 76.46 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 84.58 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 68.2 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 184 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | Yes |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 97 |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided (46' <br> Depressed Median) with <br> Paved Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 2.17 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: | Global Transpark |
| $\%$ Change in Economy: | 25.8 |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | No |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): |  |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | New Bern Amtrak Bus <br> Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 15.26 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | Yes |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Pivision 2 |
| In CTP or LRTP? | STP/LRTP Name: |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route |  |
| Submitted by: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 13,388,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 2,241,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 269,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 15,898,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 15,898,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-17

From/Cross Street: SR 1646 (Mile Road) in Craven County
To: SR 1130 (C.C. Road) in Beaufort County
Length: 3.95

Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway

Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: R-2513D

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$33,199,000
Description:
Widen to Multi-Lanes.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CRAVEN
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 74.93 |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Freight (25\%) | 74.52 |  |  |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 35.75 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 100\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 74.93 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 38.46 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 74.52 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 74.93 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 41.17 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 74.52 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.43 | 41 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 6639.36 | 27 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 75.72 | 90 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 68.57 | 42 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 65.96 | 51 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 184 | 74 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 904.18 | 56 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 25.8 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 13.33 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 2 | 48 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 86 | 48 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 3.95 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 5976.06 |
| Volume (PADT): | 6639.36 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.11 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15500 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.43 |
| \% Autos: | 85\% |
| \% Trucks: | 15\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 904.18 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 75.72 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 68.57 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 65.96 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 184 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | Yes |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 86 |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided (46' <br> Depressed Median) with <br> Paved Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 3.95 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Lether Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Global Transpark |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | 25.8 |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | Warren Field |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | 13.33 |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | Yes |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | Yes |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | In CTP or LRTP? |
| CTP/LRTP Name: |  |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route |  |
| Submitted by: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 24,371,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 7,882,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 946,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 33,199,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 33,199,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-70

From/Cross Street: US 70 East of NC 58

To: East of SR 1002 (Wyse Fork Road)
Length: 2.88

Specific Improvement Type: 3 - Upgrade Expressway to Freeway
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: R-2553 D

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$38,531,000
Description:
Upgrade Roadway to Freeway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): LENOIR, JONES
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Eastern Carolina RPO, Down East RPO
Project Location


Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 34.26 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | 51.54 |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress | N/A |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (25\%) | 81.96 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $100 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 51.54 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 25.38 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 81.96 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 51.54 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 16.50 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 81.96 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> $100 \%)$ | 0.22 | 16 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 15587.58 | 60 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 65.25 | 79 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 66.68 | 29 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 51.09 | 20 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 97 | 90 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 1789.96 | 75 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 6.59 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 1.68 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 2 | 48 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 62 | 93 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | L Lane with Median - <br> Partial Control |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 2.88 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 2 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 13632.58 |
| Volume (PADT): | 15587.58 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.14 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 72299.85 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.22 |
| \% Autos: | $87 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $13 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1789.96 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 65.25 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 66.68 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 51.09 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | Yondate Route? |
| Intition Rating: |  |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided (46' <br> Depressed Median) with <br> Paved Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 2.88 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Interstate |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: | Global Transpark |
| \% Change in Economy: | 6.59 |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Kinston Amtrak Bus Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 1.68 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Yes |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | Yes |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | Yes |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes CTP |
| In CTP or LRTP? | CTP/LRTP Name: |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route |  |
| Submitted by: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastern Carolina RPO | $78 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Down East RPO | $22 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 36,985,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 1,380,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 166,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 38,531,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 38,531,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-70

From/Cross Street: East of SR 1002 (Wyse Fork Road)

To: Existing Freeway near Dover
Length: 3.28
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 3 - Upgrade Expressway to Freeway

Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: R-2553 E
Cost to NCDOT: \$42,634,000

Description:
Upgrade Roadway to Freeway.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): JONES
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 29.11 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | 71.52 |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress | N/A | N/A |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (25\%) | 80.30 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 100\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 71.52 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 21.10 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 80.30 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 71.52 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 13.08 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 80.30 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> $100 \%)$ | 0.18 | 13 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 13000 | 53 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 84.76 | 94 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 57.47 | 15 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 89.84 | 90 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 155 | 80 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 1486.1 | 71 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 8.97 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 4.54 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 2 | 48 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 82 | 60 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 4 Lane with Median Partial Control |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 3.28 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 2 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 11000 |
| Volume (PADT): | 13000 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.18 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 71700 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.18 |
| \% Autos: | 86\% |
| \% Trucks: | 14\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1486.1 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 84.76 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 57.47 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 89.84 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 155 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | Yes |
| Future Interstate Route? | Yes |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 82 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided (46' <br> Depressed Median) with <br> Paved Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 3.28 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Interstate |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: | Global Transpark |
| \% Change in Economy: | 8.97 |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Kinston Amtrak Bus Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 4.54 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Yes |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | No |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | Yes |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Division 2 |
| In CTP or LRTP? | CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Name: |  |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route | Submitted by: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 41,088,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 1,380,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 166,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 42,634,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 42,634,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-70, NC-12

From/Cross Street: SR 1429 (Olga Road)
To: SR 1350 (Whitehurst Road)
Length: 8.85

Specific Improvement Type: 16 - Modernize Roadway
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#: R-4746

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$12,043,000

## Description:

Upgrade Existing Roadway.

Division(s): Division 2

## County(s): CARTERET

MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO

## Project Location



## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 82.63 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 51.84 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 36.88 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 82.63 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 55.58 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 36.88 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> $100 \%)$ | 0.56 | 55 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 8710.71 | 36 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 84.63 | 94 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 76.43 | 58 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 68.66 | 63 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 305 | 28 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 481.55 | 28 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on NonInterstate STRAHNET or Future Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal (20\%) | 4.63 |  |
| Multimodal | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (60\%) | 1.66 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near Multimodal Terminal (40\%) |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) | 4 | 93 |
| Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) | 97 | 13 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  | Project Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  | Project Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 53 | Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 8.85 | Length (miles): | 8.85 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway | Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None | Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial | Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level | TerrainType: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 | DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Volume (AADT): | 8418.71 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Volume (PADT): | 8710.71 | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.03 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15530.91 | Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.56 | Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Autos: | 94\% | \% Change in Economy: |  |
| \% Trucks: | 6\% | Nearest Freight Terminal: | Port of Morehead City |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 481.55 | Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 4.63 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 84.63 | Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Michael J Smith Field Airport |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 76.43 | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 1.66 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 68.66 | Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  | Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  | In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Name: | Carteret County CTP |
| Population Growth Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2015 |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  | Evacuation Route | Yes |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  | Submitted by: | Down East RPO |
| Sum County Rank: | 305 |  |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |  |  |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |  |  |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 97 |  |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 12,043,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 12,043,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 12,043,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-55

From/Cross Street: NC 304
To: Alligator Creek
Length: 2.33

Specific Improvement Type: 16 - Modernize Roadway
Project Category: Regional Impact

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: $\$ 2,483,000$

## Description:

Utility Relocation, Modernization, Widen and Resurface, and intersection Improvements.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): PAMLICO
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO

## Project Location



## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 51.27 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 26.12 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: | Points: |
| Freight (10\%) | 26.18 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 51.27 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 27.83 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 26.18 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.32 | 27 | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 240 | 47 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 5110.45 | 19 |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 264.11 | 10 |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |  | Volume/Capacity on NonInterstate STRAHNET or Future |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Benefit/Cost REG/DIV } \\ (100 \%) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | (100\%) | 70.14 | 85 |  | Distance to Freight Terminal (20\%) | 11.51 |  |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 82.59 | 72 | Multimodal | Distance to Multimodal Terminal | 11.44 |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 43.91 | 13 |  | (60\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |  | Volume/Capacity on Route near Multimodal Terminal (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Economic Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) | 2 | 48 |
|  | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) | 66 | 90 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 2.33 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 4775.95 |
| Volume (PADT): | 5110.45 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.07 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15835.92 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.32 |
| \% Autos: | $94 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $6 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 240 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 70.14 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 82.59 |
| Future Interstate Route? | 43.91 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita |  |
| Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: | Rating: |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
|  |  |


| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 2.33 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Lajor Collector |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Minnesott Beach Ferry |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Terminal |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 11.51 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Minnesott Beach Ferry |
| Terminal |  |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 11.44 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Nown East RPO |
| CTP/LRTP Name: |  |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | Evaunty CTP |
| Submitted by: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 2,483,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 2,483,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 2,483,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-210

From/Cross Street: South of SR 1518 (Old Folkstone Rd)
To: SR 1568 (New River Inlet Rd)
Length: 2.38
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 16 - Modernize Roadway
Project Category: Regional Impact TIP\#:

Cost to NCDOT: \$8,852,000

Description:
Add turn lanes, shoulders and greenway

Division(s): Division 3
County(s): ONSLOW
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Jacksonville Urban Area MPO, Down East RPO
Project Location


## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 52.63 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 41.13 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: | Points: |
| Freight (10\%) | 9.04 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 52.63 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 43.92 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 9.04 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.46 | 43 | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 197 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 7184.56 | 29 |  | Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 203.53 | 6 |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |  | Volume/Capacity on NonInterstate STRAHNET or Future |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Benefit/Cost REG/DIV } \\ (100 \%) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | (100\%) | 74.8 | 89 |  | Distance to Freight Terminal (20\%) | 3.78 |  |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 68.8 | 43 | Multimodal | Distance to Multimodal Terminal | 3.78 |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 55.16 | 26 |  | (60\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |  | Volume/Capacity on Route near Multimodal Terminal (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Economic Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) | 2 | 48 |
|  | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating $(100 \%)$ | 97 | 13 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 2.38 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 6003.8 |
| Volume (PADT): | 7184.56 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.2 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15500 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.46 |
| \% Autos: | $97 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $3 \%$ |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 207 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 74.8 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 68.8 |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |


| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 2.38 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Miner Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps <br> Base / New River Marine <br> Corps Air Station |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | 3.78 |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps <br> Base / New River Marine <br> Corps Air Station |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Yes <br> Submitted by: <br> Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): <br> Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? <br> Travel Time Savings/User: <br> In CTP or LRTP? <br> CTP/LRTP Name: <br> CTP/LRTP Completion Year: <br> Nopsail Area CTP |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jacksonville Urban Area MPO | $77 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Down East RPO | $23 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 8,852,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 8,852,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 8,852,000$ |  |

NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-70 (Live Oak Street)

From/Cross Street: NC 101
To: SR 1429 (Olga Road)
Length: 2.08

Specific Improvement Type: 11-Access Management
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$20,446,000

## Description:

Eliminate some driveways, eliminate left turns in some areas, install a median in some locations, and improve some of the intersections.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CARTERET
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Freight (10\%) | 51.39 |  |  |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | 49.01 | Percent: $15 \%$ | Porcent: $15 \%$ |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 87.65 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 49.01 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 92.92 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 51.39 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> $100 \%)$ | 1.09 | 92 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 18000 | 66 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 52.65 | 63 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 57.44 | 15 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 42.03 | 11 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 305 | 28 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 970.7 | 57 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on NonInterstate STRAHNET or Future Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal (20\%) | 3.23 |  |
| Multimodal | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (60\%) | 0.75 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near Multimodal Terminal (40\%) |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) | 4 | 93 |
| Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) | 74 | 77 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 42 |
| Length (miles): | 2.08 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | 17000 |
| Volume (AADT): | 18000 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.06 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 16517.55 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 1.09 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $94 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $6 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | 970.7 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 52.65 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 57.44 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 42.03 |
| Future Interstate Route? |  |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 2J - 2 Lane Divided (23' <br>  <br> Gutter, Bike Lanes, and <br> Sidewalks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 2.08 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 42 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: | Port\| |
| Long-Term Employment: | Yes |
| \% Change in Economy: | Carteret County CTP |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Yorehead City |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 3.23 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Michael J Smith Field Airport |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 0.75 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Down East RPO |
| CTP/LRTP Name: |  |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route |  |
| Submitted by: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 13,735,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 5,992,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 719,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 20,446,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 20,446,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## SR-1509 (Queens Creek Road)

From/Cross Street: NC 24 (Corbett Ave)
To: Jones Road
Length: 0.62

Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$7,835,000

## Description:

Construct a 4-lane divided boulevard with partial control of access from NC 24 to Jones Road.

Division(s): Division 3
County(s): ONSLOW
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO

## Project Location



## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: 0\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 67.60 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 76.58 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Points: |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 0.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted: $25 \%$ |  |  |  |

## Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.79 | 76 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 13000 | 53 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 100 | 100 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 46.06 | 6 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 93.63 | 91 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 197 | 67 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 4.91 |  |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 4.91 |  |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 | 93 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 4 | 51 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 85 |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.62 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 12000 |
| Volume (PADT): | 13000 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.08 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 16423.41 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.79 |
| \% Autos: | 100\% |
| \% Trucks: | 0\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 0 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 100 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 46.06 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 93.63 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 197 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 85 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4D - 4 Lane Divided (23' Raised Median) with Curb \& Gutter, Bike Lanes, and Sidewalks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.62 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 4.91 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 4.91 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| CTP/LRTP Name: | Onslow County CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2017 |
| Evacuation Route | No |
| Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 5,459,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 2,121,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 255,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 7,835,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 7,835,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-43

From/Cross Street: East of Wilmar Road

To: US 17
Length: 8.5
Specific Improvement Type: 5-Construct Roadway on New Location

Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#:
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$44,368,000
Description:
Construct 2 lane bypass of Vanceboro

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CRAVEN
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 30.23 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 40.68 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 31.26 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 30.23 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 43.42 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 31.26 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> $100 \%)$ | 0.45 | 43 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 7069.1 | 29 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 80.81 | 93 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 68.52 | 42 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 45.36 | 14 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> (50\%) | 184 | 74 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 372.1 | 19 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 22.77 |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> (60\%) | 13.57 |  |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 0 | 77 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> (100\%) | 74 | 0 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | New Roadway |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 51 |
| Length (miles): | 8.83 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 2 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 6632.85 |
| Volume (PADT): | 7069.1 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.07 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15544.68 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.45 |
| \% Autos: | $94 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $6 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 372.1 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 80.81 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 68.52 |
| Fritical Crash Rate (seg): | 45.36 |
| Future Interstate Route? |  |
| Pavement Condition Rating: Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | Norerstate STRAHNET Route? |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 2A - 2 Lane Undivided with <br> Paved Shoulders, 55 mph |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 8.5 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: | Global Transpark |
| \% Change in Economy: | 22.77 |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | New Bern Amtrak Bus |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | Station |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | 13.57 |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | No |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | Nown East RPO |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | In CTP or LRTP? |
| CTP/LRTP Name: |  |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | Svacuation Route |
| Submitted by: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 42,475,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 1,690,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 203,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 44,368,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 44,368,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-17 (New Route - New Bern Bypass)

From/Cross Street: US 70

To: US 17 (North of NC 43)
Length: 9.47

Specific Improvement Type: 6 - Widen Existing Roadway and Construct Part on New Location

## TIP\#: R-2301-B

Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No
Cost to NCDOT: \$155,477,000
Description:
Construct Freeway on part new location and part existing

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CRAVEN
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO, New Bern Area MPO
Project Location


Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 53.35 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | 38.32 |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress | N/A | N/A |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (25\%) | 70.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 100\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 38.32 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 47.26 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 70.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 38.32 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 41.17 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 70.40 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV <br> 100\%) | 0.43 | 41 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 20564.45 | 71 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 71.14 | 86 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 78.15 | 62 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 61.56 | 42 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 184 | 74 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 1591.72 | 72 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 13.26 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 0.67 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 2 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 7 | 97 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 88 | 42 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | New Roadway |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 20.22 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial- <br> Other Freeway |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 10 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 3 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 19246.9 |
| Volume (PADT): | 20564.45 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.07 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 47324.24 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.43 |
| \% Autos: | $92 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $8 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 184 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 71.14 |
| Future Interstate Route? | 78.15 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 61.56 |
| Son Severity (seg): |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): | Rank: |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: | Rank: |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate |  |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided (46' <br> Depressed Median) with <br> Paved Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 70 |
| Length (miles): | 9.47 |
| Facility Type: | Freeway |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial- <br> Other Freeway |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 10 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: | Song |
| Long-Term Employment: | Cherry Point Marine Corps |
| \% Change in Economy: | 13.26 |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Yes |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | New Bern Area MTP |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Station |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $66 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| New Bern Area MPO | $34 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 143,046,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 11,099,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 1,332,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 155,477,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 155,477,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-70 (Cedar Street)

From/Cross Street: US 70 (Live Oak Street)
To:
Length: 0.5

Specific Improvement Type: 10-Improve Intersection
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$930,000

## Description:

construct roundabout at the intersection of Cedar St and Live Oak St

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CARTERET
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 70.12 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 45.56 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 65.83 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% |  |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 45.56 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.66 | 65 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 41705.27 | 87 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 305 | 28 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 1576.3 | 71 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on NonInterstate STRAHNET or Future Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal (20\%) | 2.5 |  |
| Multimodal | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (60\%) | 0.98 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near Multimodal Terminal (40\%) |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 2 | 88 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) | 4 | 93 |
| Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) | 56 | 95 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 10 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | Yes |
| Volume (AADT): | 39705.27 |
| Volume (PADT): | 41705.27 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.05 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 63400 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.66 |
| \% Autos: | $96 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $4 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1576.3 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0 |
| Future Interstate Route? | 0 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? |  |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Port of Morehead City |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 2.5 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Michael J Smith Field Airport |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 0.98 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| CTP/LRTP Name: | Carteret County CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2015 |
| Evacuation Route | Yes |
| Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 780,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 930,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 930,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-58 (Emerald Drive)

From/Cross Street: SR 1000 (Coast Guard Road) To:

Length: 0.5

Specific Improvement Type: 10-Improve Intersection
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$930,000

## Description:

install roundabout with an extension of right turn lane on southbound NC 58

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CARTERET
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location

## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 95.57 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: | Points: |
| Freight (10\%) | 39.88 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 97.08 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 39.88 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 1.23 | 97 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 46000 | 89 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 82.13 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 33.3 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 91.08 | 0 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> (50\%) | 305 | 28 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 1241 | 66 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 9.59 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> (60\%) | 9.59 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 9 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 1 | 09 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> (100\%) | 99 | 6 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  | Project Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  | Project Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 | Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 | Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial | Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None | Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial | Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level | TerrainType: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 3 | DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 3 | DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Volume (AADT): | 34000 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Volume (PADT): | 46000 | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.35 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Capacity (vpd): | 37442.88 | Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 1.23 | Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Autos: | 96\% | \% Change in Economy: |  |
| \% Trucks: | 4\% | Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1241 | Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 9.59 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 82.13 | Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 33.3 | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 9.59 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 91.08 | Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  | Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  | In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Name: | Carteret County CTP |
| Population Growth Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2015 |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  | Evacuation Route | No |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  | Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 780,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 930,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 930,000$ |  |

NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-58 (Emerald Drive)

From/Cross Street: Loon Street
To:
Length: 0.5

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

install roundabout

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CARTERET
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location

## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 37.85 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 96.50 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 98.58 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% |  |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 37.85 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weints: |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 1.36 | 98 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 42998.03 | 88 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 100 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 33.3 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 100 | 0 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> (50\%) | 305 | 28 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) | 1104.03 | 62 |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 10.08 |  |
|  | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> (60\%) | 10.08 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 99 | 6 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  | Project Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  | Project Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 | Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 | Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial | Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None | Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial | Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level | TerrainType: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 3 | DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Volume (AADT): | 30247.53 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Volume (PADT): | 42998.03 | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.42 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Capacity (vpd): | 31600 | Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 1.36 | Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Autos: | 96\% | \% Change in Economy: |  |
| \% Trucks: | 4\% | Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1104.03 | Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 10.08 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 100 | Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 33.3 | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 10.08 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 100 | Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  | Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  | In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Name: | Carteret County CTP |
| Population Growth Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2015 |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  | Evacuation Route | No |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  | Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 780,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 930,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 930,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-58 (Emerald Drive)

From/Cross Street: Mangrove Drive
To:
Length: 0.5

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

install roundabout

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CARTERET

MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 90.74 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: | Points: |
| Freight (10\%) | 28.75 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: 0 |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 92.58 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 28.75 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 1.08 | 92 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 34000 | 83 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 100 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 33.3 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 72.65 | 0 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> (50\%) | 305 | 28 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 691.6 | 43 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 10.49 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> (60\%) | 10.49 | 0 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> (100\%) | 99 | 6 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  | Project Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  | Project Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 | Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 | Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial | Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None | Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial | Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level | TerrainType: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 3 | DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Volume (AADT): | 19000 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Volume (PADT): | 34000 | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.79 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Capacity (vpd): | 31600 | Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 1.08 | Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Autos: | 96\% | \% Change in Economy: |  |
| \% Trucks: | 4\% | Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 691.6 | Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 10.49 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 100 | Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 33.3 | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 10.49 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 72.65 | Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  | Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  | In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Name: | Carteret County CTP |
| Population Growth Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2015 |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  | Evacuation Route | No |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  | Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 780,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 930,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 930,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-58 (Emerald Drive)

From/Cross Street: Bogue Inlet Drive
To:
Length: 0.5

Specific Improvement Type: 10-Improve Intersection
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#: R-5852

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$930,000

## Description:

Install a mini-roundabout in place of the signalized intersection with raised, planted medians and curb and gutter improvements for 875 feet on north side of NC 58 and 700 feet on south side of NC 58

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CARTERET
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO

## Project Location



## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 90.74 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: | Points: |
| Freight (10\%) | 28.75 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 92.58 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 28.75 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 1.08 | 92 | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 305 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 34000 | 83 |  | Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 691.6 | 43 |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |  | Volume/Capacity on NonInterstate STRAHNET or Future |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Benefit/Cost REG/DIV } \\ (100 \%) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | (100\%) | 100 | 0 |  | Distance to Freight Terminal (20\%) | 10.9 |  |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 55.49 | 0 | Multimodal | Distance to Multimodal Terminal | 10.9 |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 88.83 | 0 |  | (60\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |  | Volume/Capacity on Route near Multimodal Terminal (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Economic Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
|  | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating $(100 \%)$ | 99 | 6 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  | Project Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  | Project Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 | Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 | Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial | Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None | Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial | Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level | TerrainType: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 | DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 3 | DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) SW/REG: | 0 |
| Volume (AADT): | 19000 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Volume (PADT): | 34000 | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.79 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Capacity (vpd): | 31600 | Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 1.08 | Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Autos: | 96\% | \% Change in Economy: |  |
| \% Trucks: | 4\% | Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 691.6 | Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 10.9 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 100 | Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 55.49 | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 10.9 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 88.83 | Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  | Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  | In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Name: | Carteret County CTP |
| Population Growth Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2015 |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  | Evacuation Route | No |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  | Submitted by: | Division 2 |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 780,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 930,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 930,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-70 (Live Oak Street)

From/Cross Street: SR 1310 (Lennoxville Road)
To:
Length: 0.5

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Install one lane roundabout at intersection

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CARTERET

MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 65.94 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 42.66 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 61.83 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 42.66 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.61 | 61 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 32712.77 | 82 |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benefit/Cost REG/DIV } \\ & (100 \%)\end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \%Change in Economy } \\ & (50 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 305 | 28 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time Savings (50\%) |  |  |
| Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 1235.88 | 65 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on NonInterstate STRAHNET or Future Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal (20\%) | 2.59 |  |
| Multimodal | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (60\%) | 0.91 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near Multimodal Terminal (40\%) |  |  |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 2 | 88 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) | 4 | 93 |
| Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) | 56 | 95 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 10 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | Yes |
| Volume (AADT): | 31130.57 |
| Volume (PADT): | 32712.77 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.05 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 53910.1 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.61 |
| \% Autos: | $96 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $4 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1235.88 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0 |
| Future Interstate Route? | 0 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? |  |
|  |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 4 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: | Port of Morehead City |
| Long-Term Employment: | 2.59 |
| \% Change in Economy: | Michael J Smith Field Airport |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | 0.91 |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): |  |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Yes |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | Carteret County CTP |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Down East RPO |
| CTP/LRTP Name: CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route |  |
| Submitted by: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 780,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 930,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 930,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## SR-1175 (Bridges Street)

From/Cross Street: SR 1243 (Barbour Road)

To:
Length: 0.03
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 21 - Realign Offset Intersections

Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$1,606,000

Description:
realign offset cross streets to 4 way intersection

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CARTERET
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: 0\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 86.00 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Points: |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | 0.00 |  |
| Freight (5\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.94 | 86 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 32000 | 81 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 305 | 28 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 1.99 |  |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | 2 | 88 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 2 | 83 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 4 | 93 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 88 | 42 |

SR-1175 (Bridges Street)

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | New Roadway |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 1.25 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 10 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | Yes |
| Volume (AADT): | 30000 |
| Volume (PADT): | 32000 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.07 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 34161.1 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.94 |
| \% Autos: | 100\% |
| \% Trucks: | 0\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 0 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 0 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 0 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 0 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 305 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 88 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 2B - 2 Lane Undivided with <br> Paved Shoulders, 25-45 <br> mph |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.03 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 4 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: | Port of Morehead City |
| Long-Term Employment: | 1.99 |
| \% Change in Economy: | No |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 1.3 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : |  |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | No |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Nown East RPO |
| In CTP or LRTP? | CTP/LRTP Name: |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route |  |
| Submitted by: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 167,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 1,285,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 154,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 1,606,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 0 6 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-17 (Main Street)

From/Cross Street: NC 58 (8th Street)
To:
Length: 0.5

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Install one lane roundabout

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): JONES
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 67.75 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress | N/A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress | 67.98 |  |
| Freight (25\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 100\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 66.46 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 67.98 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 65.17 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 67.98 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> 100\%) | 0.65 | 65 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 20593.68 | 71 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 66.7 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 66.7 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 33.3 | 0 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 155 | 80 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 1570.3 | 71 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 13.33 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 13.33 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 4 | 93 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 35 | 98 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 20393.45 |
| Volume (PADT): | 20593.68 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.01 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 31600 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.65 |
| \% Autos: | 92\% |
| \% Trucks: | 8\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 1570.3 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 66.7 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 66.7 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 33.3 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 155 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | Yes |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 35 |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 13.33 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 13.33 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| CTP/LRTP Name: | Jones County CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2016 |
| Evacuation Route | Yes |
| Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 650,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 800,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 800,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## SR-1512 (Old Hammock Road)

From/Cross Street: Hammock Beach Road
To:
Length: 0.5

Specific Improvement Type: 10-Improve Intersection
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$279,000

## Description:

Straighten curve to improve sight line at intersection

Division(s): Division 3
County(s): ONSLOW
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: 0\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 18.17 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Porcent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 0.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV <br> 100\%) | 0.23 | 18 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 7200 | 29 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 33.3 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 33.3 | 0 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 197 | 67 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 4.94 |  |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 4.94 |  |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | 2 | 97 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 3 | 48 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 2 | 0 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 100 | 4 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Lecal |
| Terrain Type: | 9 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | 6800 |
| Volume (AADT): | 7200 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.06 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 31478.83 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.23 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $100 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $0 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | 0 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 33.3 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 33.3 |
| Crash Density (seg): |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? |  |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Local |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps <br> Base / New River Marine <br> Corps Air Station |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | 4.94 |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps <br> Base / New River Marine <br> Corps Air Station |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | 4.94 <br> Sistance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): <br> Soumitted by: <br> Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? <br> Travel Time Savings/User: <br> In CTP or LRTP? <br> CTP/LRTP Name: <br> NTP/LRTP Completion Year: |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 234,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 45,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 279,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 9 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## SR-1119 (High Hill Rd)

From/Cross Street: US 17 (Wilmington Hwy)
To: SR 1107 (Dawson Cabin Rd)
Length: 3

Specific Improvement Type: 16 - Modernize Roadway
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$3,304,000

## Description:

Upgrade to 12 ' travel lanes and 4' paved shoulders.

Division(s): Division 3
County(s): ONSLOW
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO, Jacksonville Urban Area MPO

## Project Location



## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: 0\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 48.24 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 6.92 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Porcent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 0.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.11 | 6 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 1643.23 | 5 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 83.35 | 94 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 73.34 | 50 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 55.67 | 28 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 197 | 67 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 3.06 |  |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 3.06 |  |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | 3 | 97 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 3 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 0 | 97 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 49 |  |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  | Project Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  | Project Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 49 | Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 3 | Length (miles): | 3 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway | Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None | Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Local | Functional Classification: | Local |
| Terrain Type: | Level | TerrainType: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 8 | DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 | DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) SW/REG: | 0 |
| Volume (AADT): | 1543.23 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Volume (PADT): | 1643.23 | Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.06 | Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15627.43 | Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.11 | Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Autos: | 100\% | \% Change in Economy: |  |
| \% Trucks: | 0\% | Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 0 | Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 3.06 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 83.35 | Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 73.34 | Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 3.06 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 55.67 | Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  | Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  | In CTP or LRTP? | No |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Name: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  | CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  | Evacuation Route | No |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  | Submitted by: | Jacksonville Urban Area MPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $61 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Jacksonville Urban Area MPO | $39 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 3,304,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 3,304,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 3,304,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-43

From/Cross Street: NC 102
To: SR 1477 (Powell Road) in Craven County
Length: 4.77

Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

## Description:

Widen roadway to 4 -lane divided with 46 ' depressed median and paved shoulders

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CRAVEN, PITT
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO, Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 69.34 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 39.48 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 21.90 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: 0 |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 69.34 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 42.17 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 21.90 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> $100 \%)$ | 0.44 | 42 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 6875.74 | 28 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 84.87 | 94 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 58.38 | 16 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 63.98 | 48 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> (50\%) | 184 | 74 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 297.75 | 12 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 20.93 |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> (60\%) | 14.92 |  |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 0 | 56 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> (100\%) | 83 |  |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 4.77 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 2 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 6375.74 |
| Volume (PADT): | 6875.74 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.08 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15500 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.44 |
| $\%$ Autos: | $95 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $5 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 297.75 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 84.87 |
| Future Interstate Route? | 58.38 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 63.98 |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 <br> Rank: |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided (46' Depressed Median) with Paved Shoulders |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 4.77 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Minor Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 2 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Global Transpark |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 20.93 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Greenville Area Transit Transfer Center / Amtrak Bus Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 14.92 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | Yes |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| CTP/LRTP Name: | Pitt County Comprehensive Transportation Plan |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2005 |
| Evacuation Route | No |
| Submitted by: | Mid-East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $51 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-East RPO | $49 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 29,551,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 8,095,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 971,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 38,617,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 38,617,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-17

From/Cross Street: SR 1438 (Spruill Town Road)
To: South of SR 1127 (Possum Track Road)
Length: 12.74

Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#: R-2513

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$99,954,000

## Description:

Widen to Multi-Lanes

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CRAVEN, BEAUFORT
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO, Mid-East RPO

## Project Location



Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 36.72 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | 76.61 |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress | N/A | N/A |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (25\%) | 72.32 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 100\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 76.61 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 39.44 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 72.32 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 76.61 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 42.17 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 72.32 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> $100 \%)$ | 0.44 | 42 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 6848.5 | 28 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 74.21 | 89 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 68.83 | 43 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 66.23 | 52 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 184 | 74 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 861.42 | 53 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 25.8 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 9.97 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 88 | 42 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 12.74 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 2 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 6074.9 |
| Volume (PADT): | 6848.5 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.13 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15500 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.44 |
| \% Autos: | 86\% |
| \% Trucks: | 14\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 861.42 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 74.21 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 68.83 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 66.23 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 184 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | Yes |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 88 |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4A - 4 Lane Divided (46' <br> Depressed Median) with <br> Paved Shoulders |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 55 |
| Length (miles): | 12.74 |
| Facility Type: | Multi-Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): | 2 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: | Global Transpark |
| \% Change in Economy: | 25.8 |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Warren Field |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 9.97 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | No |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | Yes |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? |  |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or LRTP? | CTP/LRTP Name: |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route |  |
| Submitted by: |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $73 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-East RPO | $27 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 78,603,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 19,063,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 2,288,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 99,954,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 99,954,000$ |  |

NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## SR-1331 (White Oak River Road)

From/Cross Street: US 17
To: Emmett Road
Length: 9.36

Specific Improvement Type: 16 - Modernize Roadway
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$11,760,000

## Description:

Modernize to 12 foot lanes and 2 foot paved shoulder

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): JONES
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO

## Project Location



## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: 0\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 50.81 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 3.83 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 0.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.06 | 3 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 971.43 | 3 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 49.69 | 58 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 96.3 | 90 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 48.91 | 17 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 155 | 80 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 13.2 |  |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 13.01 |  |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | 4 | 99 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 4 | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 0 | 92 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 64 |  |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 54 |
| Length (miles): | 9.36 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Local |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 8 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 901.42 |
| Volume (PADT): | 971.43 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.08 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15500 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.06 |
| \% Autos: | 100\% |
| \% Trucks: | 0\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 0 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 49.69 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 96.3 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 48.91 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 155 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 64 |


| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 54 |
| Length (miles): | 9.36 |
| Facility Type: | Two Lane Highway |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Local |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 13.2 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Jacksonville Amtrak Bus Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 13.01 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| CTP/LRTP Name: | Jones County CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2015 |
| Evacuation Route | No |
| Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 11,760,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 11,760,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 11,760,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-24 (W Corbett Ave)

From/Cross Street: SR 1447 (Main Street Extension) Specific Improvement Type: 10-Improve Intersection
To:
Length: 0.5

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$2,604,000

## Description:

Install a signalized super street for this intersection

Division(s): Division 3
County(s): ONSLOW
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO

## Project Location



Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 82.67 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress | N/A | N/A |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (25\%) | 60.60 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 100\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 80.42 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 60.60 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 70\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 78.17 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Porcent: 25\% |
| Freight (5\%) | 60.60 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW <br> $60 \%$, REG 80\%, DIV <br> $100 \%)$ | 0.81 | 78 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 45736.96 | 89 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 33.3 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 58.39 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 33.3 | 0 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 197 | 67 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 2198.72 | 81 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 6.51 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 6.51 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 7 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 10 | 100 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 100 | 0 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Oone |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 5 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | No Arterial |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | 40868.32 |
| Volume (AADT): | 45736.96 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.12 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 56723.53 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.81 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $95 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $5 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | 190 |
| Fes | 2198.72 |
| Favement Condition Rating: | 33.3 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 33.3 |
| Crash Density (seg): |  |
| Son-Interstate STRAHNET Route? |  |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | Nank |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate |  |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Partial |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 10 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 6.51 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 6.51 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| CTP/LRTP Name: | Swansboro CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2010 |
| Evacuation Route | Yes |
| Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 2,184,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 420,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 2,604,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 2,604,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-306

From/Cross Street: SR 1005 (Kershaw Road)
To:
Length: 0.5

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$800,000

## Description:

construct roundabout to include Neuse Road and Seafarer Road along with the intersection of NC 306 and SR 1005.

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): PAMLICO
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO

## Project Location



## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 9.93 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: | Points: |
| Freight (10\%) | 26.66 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 8.50 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% |  |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 26.66 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weinght: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.13 | 8 | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 240 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 4200.38 | 15 |  | Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 219.51 | 7 |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |  | Volume/Capacity on NonInterstate STRAHNET or Future |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Benefit/Cost REG/DIV } \\ (100 \%) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | (100\%) | 97.86 | 0 |  | Distance to Freight Terminal (20\%) | 3.91 |  |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 68.84 | 0 | Multimodal | Distance to Multimodal Terminal | 3.87 |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 95.71 | 0 |  | (60\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |  | Volume/Capacity on Route near Multimodal Terminal (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Economic Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating (100\%) | 100 | 0 |

## Project Data*

## Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 47 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 3810.86 |
| Volume (PADT): | 4200.38 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.1 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 31297.76 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.13 |
| \% Autos: | $94 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | $6 \%$ |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | No |
| Crash Density (seg): | 219.51 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 97.86 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 68.84 |
| Frash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: Condition Rating: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 <br> Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | Noute? |
| Nonterstate STRAHNET Route? |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 47 |
| Length (miles): | 0.5 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Maje |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| TerrainType: | 12 |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| DOT Design Paved <br> Shoulder Width (ft): |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) <br> - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) - <br> SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) - <br> DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: | Minnesott Beach Ferry |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Terminal |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 3.91 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Minnesott Beach Ferry |
| Terminal |  |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 3.87 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway <br> functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: | Yes |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Nomlico County CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Name: |  |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: |  |
| Evacuation Route | Submitted by: |
| Sast RPO |  |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 650,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 150,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 800,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 800,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## SR-1509 (Queens Creek Road)

From/Cross Street: Jones Road
To: SR 1565 (Smallwood Road)
Length: 2.18

Specific Improvement Type: 1 - Widen Existing Roadway
Project Category: Division Needs
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$24,964,000

## Description:

Widen to 3 lanes (with no improvements to the Queen Creek Bridge).

Division(s): Division 3
County(s): ONSLOW
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO

## Project Location



## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: 0\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: | Percent: $15 \%$ <br> Points: |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 82.57 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 71.50 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Points: |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 0.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted: $25 \%$ |  |  |  |

## Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.72 | 71 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 11339.46 | 47 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 84.69 | 94 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 75.67 | 56 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 76.63 | 77 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 197 | 67 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 2.9 |  |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 2.9 |  |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 | 4 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 4 | 93 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 82 | 60 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 2 Lane Undivided |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 2.18 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| Terrain Type: | Level |
| Lane Width (ft): | 11 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 0 |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | No |
| Volume (AADT): | 10529.24 |
| Volume (PADT): | 11339.46 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 1.08 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 15830.4 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | 0.72 |
| \% Autos: | 100\% |
| \% Trucks: | 0\% |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): | 0 |
| Crash Density (seg): | 84.69 |
| Crash Severity (seg): | 75.67 |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): | 76.63 |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| 12 Month Average Unemployment Rate Rank: |  |
| Sum County Rank: | 197 |
| Non-Interstate STRAHNET Route? | No |
| Future Interstate Route? | No |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 82 |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 3C - 2 Lane with Two Way Left Turn Lane, Curb \& Gutter, Bike Lanes, and Sidewalks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 2.18 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 2.9 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 2.9 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| CTP/LRTP Name: | Onslow County CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2017 |
| Evacuation Route | No |
| Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 21,092,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 3,457,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 415,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 24,964,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 24,964,000$ |  |

## NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## US-24 (W. Corbett Avenue)

From/Cross Street: Belgrade-Swansboro Road

To: Front Street
Length: 2.91

Specific Improvement Type: 4 - Upgrade Arterial to Superstreet
Project Category: Regional Impact
TIP\#:

## Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Cost to NCDOT: \$23,724,000
Description:
Construct superstreet/superstreet intersection along NC 24 corridor from Belgrdae-Swansboro, to Front Street before crossing bridge.Improve safety and illuminate left turns.

Division(s): Division 3
County(s): ONSLOW
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


## Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Totals: Weight: $0 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 66.61 |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 77.87 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: | Points: |
| Freight (10\%) | 54.76 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: 0 |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | 66.61 |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 77.33 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 54.76 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value | Criteria | Measure | Raw Value | Scaled value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.8 | 77 | Accessibility / Connectivity | County Economic Indicator (50\%) | 197 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time |  |  |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 28780.01 | 80 |  | Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Freight | Truck Volume (50\%) | 1421.44 | 69 |
| Benefit-Cost (SW) | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |  | Volume/Capacity on NonInterstate STRAHNET or Future |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost (REG/DIV) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Benefit/Cost REG/DIV } \\ (100 \%) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Segments) | (100\%) | 60.94 | 76 |  | Distance to Freight Terminal (20\%) | 5.32 |  |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 63.88 | 23 | Multimodal | Distance to Multimodal Terminal | 5.32 |  |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 58.66 | 37 |  | (60\%) |  |  |
| Safety (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  | 0 |  | Volume/Capacity on Route near Multimodal Terminal (40\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  | 0 | Lane Width | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Economic Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy (50\%) |  |  | Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width Difference (100\%) | 2 | 48 |
|  | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |  | Pavement Condition | Pavement Condition Rating $(100 \%)$ | 99 | 6 |

## Project Data*

Existing Conditions

| Existing Cross-Section: | 4 Lane with TWLTL (Five <br> Lane) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 2.91 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Terrain Type: | 11 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 2 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | Yes |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | 26371.87 |
| Volume (AADT): | 28780.01 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.09 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 35862.41 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.8 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $95 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $5 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | 5 |
| Future Interstate Route? | 197 |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 60.66 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): |  |
| Crash Density (seg): |  |
| Sun County Rank: |  |
| Crash Severity (seg): <br> Rank: |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |

## Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: | 4B - 4 Lane Divided (23' Raised Median) with Paved Shoulders and Sidewalks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 45 |
| Length (miles): | 2.91 |
| Facility Type: | Superstreet |
| Access Control: | None |
| Functional Classification: | Major Collector |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 4 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 5.32 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 5.32 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | Yes |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| CTP/LRTP Name: | Onslow County CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2017 |
| Evacuation Route | Yes |
| Submitted by: | Division 3 |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 7,570,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 14,423,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 1,731,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 23,724,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 23,724,000$ |  |

NCDOT Prioritization 4.0 Project Summary

## NC-24

From/Cross Street: NC 58

To:
Length: 2
Fully Funded in Draft STIP? No

Specific Improvement Type: 7 - Upgrade At-grade Intersection to Interchange or Grade Separation
Project Category: Statewide Mobility
TIP\#:
Cost to NCDOT: \$15,038,000

Description:
Construct interchange

Division(s): Division 2
County(s): CARTERET
MPOS(s)/RPO(s): Down East RPO
Project Location


Statewide Mobility Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Congestion SW (30\%) | 87.61 |  |  |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Economic Competitiveness (10\%) | In Progress | N/A | N/A |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (25\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Freight (25\%) | 64.67 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: 100\% Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Regional Impact Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion REG (20\%) | 86.80 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost SW \& REG (20\%) | In Progress | Percent: $15 \%$ | Percent: $15 \%$ |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (10\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (10\%) | 64.67 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $70 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

## Division Needs Total Score: 0

| Quantitative Score | Division Engineer Points | MPO/RPO Points |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety (10\%) | In Progress |  |  |
| Congestion DIV (15\%) | 86.00 |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost DIV (15\%) | In Progress | Percent: 25\% | Percent: 25\% |
| Accessibility-Connectivity (5\%) | In Progress | Points: |  |
| Freight (5\%) | 64.67 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: Weight: $50 \%$ Weighted Score: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |

Criteria measures

| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Congestion | Volume/Capacity (SW 60\%, <br> REG 80\%, DIV 100\%) | 0.94 | 86 |
|  | Volume (SW 40\%, REG <br> 20\%, DIV 0\%) | 47478.82 | 90 |
|  | Benefit/Cost SW (100\%) |  |  |
| Benefit-Cost <br> (REG/DIV) | Benefit/Cost REG/DIV <br> (100\%) |  |  |
| Safety <br> (Segments) | Crash Density (33\%) | 86.75 | 0 |
|  | Crash Severity (33\%) | 39.93 | 0 |
|  | Critical Crash Rate (33\%) | 86.75 | 0 |
| Safety <br> (Intersections) | Crash Frequency (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Severity Index (50\%) |  |  |
| Economic <br> Competitiveness | \%Change in Economy <br> (50\%) | Long-term Jobs (50\%) |  |


| Criteria | Measure | Raw <br> Value | Scaled <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accessibility / <br> Connectivity | County Economic Indicator <br> $(50 \%)$ | 305 | 28 |
|  | Upgrade Roadway Travel Time <br> Savings (50\%) |  |  |
|  | Truck Volume (50\%) | 2336.94 | 83 |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Non- <br> Interstate STRAHNET or Future <br> Interstate (30\%) |  |  |
|  | Distance to Freight Terminal <br> $(20 \%)$ | 9.19 |  |
| Lane Width | Distance to Multimodal Terminal <br> $(60 \%)$ | 9.19 |  |
|  | Volume/Capacity on Route near <br> Multimodal Terminal (40\%) | Lane Width Difference (100\%) | 0 |
| Shoulder Width | Paved Shoulder Width <br> Difference (100\%) | 10 | 100 |
| Pavement <br> Condition | Pavement Condition Rating <br> $(100 \%)$ | 74 | 77 |

## Project Data*

| Existing Conditions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Existing Cross-Section: |  |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 2 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Other Principal Arterial |
| Functional Classification: | Level |
| Terrain Type: | 12 |
| Lane Width (ft): | 0 |
| Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | Yes |
| Roadway has Curb \& Gutter? | 40431.42 |
| Volume (AADT): | 47478.82 |
| Volume (PADT): | 1.17 |
| Peak ADT (PADT) Factor: | 50684.61 |
| Capacity (vpd): | 0.94 |
| Volume (PADT)/Capacity Ratio: | $94 \%$ |
| \% Autos: | $6 \%$ |
| \% Trucks: | Yes |
| Pavement Condition Rating: | 2305 |
| Future Interstate Route? | 86.75 |
| Truck Volume (AADTT): |  |
| Crash Density (seg): |  |
| Son-Interstate STRAHNET Route? |  |
| Crash Severity (seg): |  |
| Critical Crash Rate (seg): |  |
| Crash Frequency (int): |  |
| Severity Index (int): |  |
| Adjusted Property Tax Base Per Capita <br> Rank: |  |
| Population Growth Rank: |  |
| Median Household Income Rank: |  |
| $12 y$ |  |

Project Benefits

| Project Cross-Section: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed Limit (mph): | 35 |
| Length (miles): | 2 |
| Facility Type: | Arterial |
| Access Control: | Full |
| Functional Classification: | Other Principal Arterial |
| TerrainType: | Level |
| DOT Design Lane Width (ft): | 12 |
| DOT Design Paved Shoulder Width (ft): | 10 |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (NCSTM) - SW/REG: | 0 |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (NCSTM) SW/REG: |  |
| Travel Time Savings for 10 Years (CALC) DIV: |  |
| Travel Time Savings in \$ (CALC) - DIV: |  |
| Safety Benefits in \$: |  |
| Long-Term Employment: |  |
| \% Change in Economy: |  |
| Nearest Freight Terminal: | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Freight Terminal (miles): | 9.19 |
| Nearest Multimodal Passenger Terminal : | Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base / New River Marine Corps Air Station |
| Distance to Multimodal Terminal (miles): | 9.19 |
| Does project upgrade how the roadway functions? | No |
| Travel Time Savings/User: |  |
| In CTP or LRTP? | Yes |
| CTP/LRTP Name: | Carteret County CTP |
| CTP/LRTP Completion Year: | 2015 |
| Evacuation Route | Yes |
| Submitted by: | Down East RPO |

* Data reflects calculations which include weighted averages (where applicable) and represent raw output from the Department's SPOT On!ine tool and associated databases.


## Project Ownership

## Division

| Division | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 2 | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL Division Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MPO/RPO

| MPO/RPO | Percent | Regional Impact Points | Division Needs Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down East RPO | $100 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL MPO/RPO Points |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Project Cost and Source

| Construction Cost: | $\$ 11,388,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Right-of-Way Cost: | $\$ 3,650,000$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Utilities Cost: | $\$ 0$ | Cost Estimation Tool |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 15,038,000$ |  |
| Other Funding: | $\$ 0$ | None |
| Cost to NCDOT : | $\$ 15,038,000$ |  |

